

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,293	10/21/2003	Jeffrey Gerard Bourque	10541-1880	4552
7590 10/31/2005			EXAMINER	
MacMillan, Sobansky & Todd, LLC			REDMAN, JERRY E	
One Maritime Plaza, 4th Floor Toledo, OH 43604-1619			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/690,293	BOURQUE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Jerry Redman	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a							
Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	October 2003.	·					
	nis action is non-final.	<u>.</u>					
·—	•	secution as to the merits is					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	r in the application						
	Claim(s) 1, 3-7, 9, 10, and 12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	an priority under 35 H S C & 119/a	_(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	-	ad in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/690,293

Art Unit: 3634

The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 2, 8, 11, and 13 have been cancelled; and Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10, and 12 are herein addressed below.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Galer (203/0213179 A1). Galer discloses a window assembly comprising a window (12) having an opening (15), an L-shaped flange (18, paragraphs 0008 and 0009 discuss the L-shape) bonded/adhesive (paragraphs 0012 and 0013) attached to an inside (inside/outside is relative since the claims fail to recite inside or outside with respect to what) lower portion of the window (12) and formed of metal or plastic (paragraph 0012), wherein the flange (18/218 as shown in Figure 6) has a rounded/contoured region along a top portion and the flange (18) extends substantially at a bottom edge of the opening (Figure 2) or extends slightly within the opening (flange 218 in Figure 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/690,293

Art Unit: 3634

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. It appears that the applicant's arguments are more limiting than that of the claims. It appears that if the applicant included the following language or something similar, then it would appear to read over the art of record: that the entire upper surface of the flange is at a higher elevation relative to the bottom edge of the opening and that the contoured upper surface comprises a central region that is lower than side portions. The term "contoured" would broadly read on a flat surface adjacent a flat opening.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 703-308-2120.

Jerry Redman
Primary Examiner

Page 3